

# On the Biological Foundation of Risk Preferences

Roberto Robatto and Balazs Szentes

May 28, 2018

# Ellsberg Paradox

Urn containing balls

- 33 red balls
- 66 white or black balls

Two choice problems:

C1. £1 if red or £1 if black

## Expected Utility Model

- $\omega$  : number of black balls
- Decision Maker forms a belief over  $\omega : G$
- Takes expectation when evaluating a lottery

## Evaluation of Lotteries

Recall C1. £1 if red or £1 if black

If bet on red: probability of winning  $33/99 = 1/3$ .

If bet on black: probability of winning

$$\int_0^{66} \omega/99 dG(\omega) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_G[\omega]}{99}.$$

*“Choose black if you believe that there are more black than red”.*

## Paradox

C1. £1 if red or £1 if black

individuals choose red  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_G[\omega] < 33$

C2. £1 if red or £1 if white

individuals choose red  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_G[\omega] > 33$

## Evolutionary Approach

- choice behavior is genetic
- individuals face choices over lotteries
- *premise*: only the fastest growing gene survives

## Cohen-Robson Model

- state of the world:  $\Omega \sim G$
- outcomes in offspring:  $X$
- lottery:  $F_\omega$  is a distribution over  $X$ .
- time is discrete
- lotteries are independent across time
- gene: a choice from lotteries

## Main Result

The utility criterion is:

$$\int_{\Omega} \ln \left( \int x dF_{\omega}(x) \right) dG(\omega)$$

Growth Rate:

(continuously compounded growth rate)

$y_t$  : population at time  $t$

$$y_t \sim cg^t$$

$$\ln y_t \sim \ln c + t \ln g$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln y_t}{t} = g$$

# Proof

Let  $\omega_t$  denote the realization of  $\omega$  at  $t$ .

Let  $\mu(\omega) = \int f(x) dF_\omega(x)$ .

Let  $y_0 = 1$ .

Then:

$$y_T = y_0 \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{y_t}{y_{t-1}} = \prod_{t=1}^T \mu(\omega_t).$$

$$y_T = y_0 \prod_{t=1}^T \frac{y_t}{y_{t-1}} = \prod_{t=1}^T \mu(\omega_t).$$

So,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log y_T}{T} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum \log \mu(\omega_t)}{T}.$$

By Birkhoff's Theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum \log \mu(\omega_t)}{T} &= \int \log \mu(\omega) dG(\omega) \\ &= \int \log \left[ \int f(x) dF_\omega(x) \right] dG(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

**Observation:**

There are discrete changes in the size of the population

**Question:**

What happens if the population evolves smoothly?

## Continuous-time Model

**Lottery:**  $(\Omega, G, \{F_e(\cdot|\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega})$

$\Omega$  : set of states of the world

$G$  : ergodic distribution on  $\Omega$

*environmental shocks:*  $\{F_e(\cdot|\omega)\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$

- net birth-rate  $\varepsilon_t \sim F_e(\varepsilon_t|\omega_t)$
- $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon|\omega)$  is a bounded function of  $\omega$ ,
- $F_e(\varepsilon|\omega)$  is uniformly continuous in  $\omega$ .
- $\varepsilon'_t$ s are independent across individuals conditional on  $\omega_t$ .
- $r(\omega_t) \equiv \int \varepsilon dF_e(\varepsilon|\omega_t)$

## Main Result

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\log y_t}{t} \right) = \int \int \varepsilon dF_e(\varepsilon|\omega) dG(\omega)$$

.

# Proof

$$y_{t+\Delta} \approx y_t [1 + \Delta r(\omega_t)] \Rightarrow \dot{y}_t = y_t r(\omega_t)$$

$$\text{solution: } y_t = \exp \left[ \int_0^t r(\omega_s) ds \right]$$

So:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log y_t}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^t r(\omega_s) ds}{t}$$

Birkhoff's Theorem:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^t r(\omega_s) ds}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^t \int \varepsilon_s dF_\varepsilon(\varepsilon | \omega_t) ds}{t} = \int \int \varepsilon dF_\varepsilon(\varepsilon | \omega) dG(\omega)$$

## Endogenous growth and utility representation

- $\mathcal{L}$  : set of lotteries
- $(\{l_k\}_{k=1}^n, \{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^n)$ : *environment*,  $l_k \subset \mathcal{L}$ ,  $|l_k| < \infty$  and  $\mu_k$  is the arrival rate of  $l_k$
- $c$ : gene,  $\{l_1, \dots, l_n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ , s.t.  $c(l_k) \in l_k$

## Theorem

For each  $\mathcal{L}$  and for each  $(\{l_k\}_{k=1}^n, \{\mu_k\}_{k=1}^n)$ , the surviving gene,  $c^*$ , satisfies

$$c^*(l_k) \in \arg \max_{L \in l_k} U(L),$$

for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ .

## What is going on?

Take Robson's model and *shorten* the intervals:

- outcome of the lottery is  $H$  or  $L$  with prob. half
- reproduce  $H$  or  $L$  kids

Shortening the intervals to  $\Delta$ :

- outcome of the lottery is  $H$  or  $L$  with prob. half
- reproduce  $H^\Delta$  or  $L^\Delta$  kids

If the risk is aggregate:

$$\log g(\Delta) = \frac{1}{2} (\log H^\Delta + \log L^\Delta)$$

and

$$\Delta \log g = \log g(\Delta)$$

So:

$$\log g = \frac{1}{2} (\log H + \log L) .$$

If the risk is idiosyncratic:

$$g(\Delta) = \frac{H^\Delta + L^\Delta}{2}$$

and

$$\Delta \log g = \log g(\Delta),$$

so

$$\log g = \frac{\log \left( \frac{H^\Delta + L^\Delta}{2} \right)}{\Delta}.$$

Is it true that

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \left( \frac{H^\Delta + L^\Delta}{2} \right)}{\Delta} = \frac{1}{2} (\log H + \log L)?$$

L'Hopital Rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \left( \frac{H^\Delta + L^\Delta}{2} \right)}{\Delta} &= \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left( \frac{H^\Delta + L^\Delta}{2} \right)} \left( \frac{H^\Delta \log H + L^\Delta \log L}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\log H + \log L). \end{aligned}$$

# Conclusion

If choices affect growth smoothly then the optimal choice behavior has a *Neumenn-Morgenstern* representation.